RATE OF CONVERGENCE OF ATTRACTORS FOR SEMILINEAR SINGULARLY PERTURBED PROBLEMS: PARABOLIC EQUATIONS WITH LARGE DIFFUSION

LEONARDO PIRES*

Abstract. We exhibit a singularly perturbed parabolic problems for which the asymptotic behavior can be described by an one-dimensional ordinary differential equation. We estimate the continuity of attractors in the Hausdorff metric by rate of convergence of resolvent operator.

arXiv:1607.01349v1 [math.AP] 14 Jun 2016

1. Introduction, Functional Setting and Statement of the Results

We consider the parabolic problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t^\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}(p_\varepsilon(x)\nabla u^\varepsilon) + (\lambda + V_\varepsilon(x))u^\varepsilon = f(u^\varepsilon), \quad x \in \Omega,\ t > 0, \\ \dfrac{\partial u^\varepsilon}{\partial \vec{n}} = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \\ u^\varepsilon(0) = u_0^\varepsilon, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded smooth open connected set, $\partial\Omega$ is the boundary of Ω and $\frac{\partial u^\varepsilon}{\partial \vec{n}}$ is the co-normal derivative operator with $\vec{n}$ the unit outward normal vector to $\partial\Omega$. We assume the potentials $V_\varepsilon \in L^p(\Omega)$ with

$$p \begin{cases} \geq 1, & n = 1, \\ > 2, & n \geq 2, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

and V_ε converges to $V_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ in $L^p(\Omega)$, that is, we consider $\tau(\varepsilon)$ an increasing positive function of ε such that

$$\|V_\varepsilon - V_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \tau(\varepsilon) \overset{\varepsilon\to 0}{\longrightarrow} 0. \tag{3}$$

Note that (3) implies that the spatial average of V_ε converges to V_0 as $\varepsilon \to 0$. We choice $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ sufficiently large for that $\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x\in\Omega} V_\varepsilon(x) + \lambda \geq m_0$ for some positive constant m_0. Moreover we will assume the diffusion is large in Ω, that is, for each $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ the map p_ε is positive smooth defined in $\bar{\Omega}$ satisfying

$$p(\varepsilon) := \min_{x\in\bar{\Omega}}\{p_\varepsilon(x)\} \overset{\varepsilon\to 0}{\longrightarrow} \infty \quad \text{with} \quad 0 < m_0 \leq p_\varepsilon(x), \quad \forall\, x \in \Omega.$$

Since large diffusivity implies fast homogenization, we expect, for small values of ε, that the solution of this problem converge to a constant spatial function in Ω. Indeed by taking the

average on Ω, the limiting problem as ε goes to zero is given by ordinary differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \dot{u}^0 + (\lambda + V_0)u^0 = f(u^0), \quad t > 0, \\ u^0(0) = u_0^0, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

which [9] proves to determine the asymptotic behavior.

In this paper we are concerning in how fast the dynamics of the problem (1) approaches the dynamics of the problem (4). We will estimate this convergence by functions $\tau(\varepsilon)$ and $p(\varepsilon)$.

Since we have established the limit problem we need to study the well posedness of (1) and (4) as abstract parabolic equation in appropriated Banach spaces. To that end, we define the operator $A_\varepsilon : \mathcal{D}(A_\varepsilon) \subset L^2(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega)$ by

$$\mathcal{D}(A_\varepsilon) = \{u \in H^2(\Omega)\,;\, \frac{\partial u^\varepsilon}{\partial \vec{n}} = 0\}, \quad A_\varepsilon u = -\mathrm{div}(p_\varepsilon \nabla u) + (\lambda + V_\varepsilon)u.$$

We denote $L^2_\Omega = \{u \in H^1(\Omega)\,;\, \nabla u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}$ and we define the operator $A_0 : L^2_\Omega \subset L^2(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega)$ by $A_0 u = (\lambda + V_0)u$.

It is well known that A_ε is a positive invertible operator with compact resolvent for each $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0]$, hence we define in the usual way (see[8]), the fractional power space $X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} = H^1(\Omega)$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, and $X_0^{\frac{1}{2}} = L^2_\Omega$ with the scalar products

$$\langle u, v\rangle_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \int_\Omega p_\varepsilon \nabla u \nabla v \, dx + \int_\Omega (\lambda + V_\varepsilon) uv \, dx, \quad u, v \in X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ \ \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0];$$

$$\langle u, v\rangle_{X_0^{\frac{1}{2}}} = |\Omega|^{-1}(\lambda + V_0)uv, \quad u, v \in X_0^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The space $X_0^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a one dimensional closed subspace of $X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ and $X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \subset H^1(\Omega)$ with injection constant independent of ε, but the injection $H^1(\Omega) \subset X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is not uniform, in fact is valid

$$m_0 \|u\|^2_{H^1} \leq \|u\|^2_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq M(\varepsilon)\|u\|^2_{H^1}, \tag{5}$$

with $M(\varepsilon) \to \infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and we will show in the Corollary 2.2 that there is no positive constant C independent of ε such that $\|u\|^2_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C\|u\|^2_{H^1}$. Therefore bounds for solutions in the Sobolev spaces does not give suitable estimates in the fractional power space, even though we will consider $X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ as phase space.

If we denote the Nemitskii functional of f by the same notation f, then (1) and (4) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} u_t^\varepsilon + A_\varepsilon u^\varepsilon = f(u^\varepsilon), \\ u^\varepsilon(0) = u_0^\varepsilon \in X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0]. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

We assume f is continuously differentiable and the equilibrium set of (6) for $\varepsilon = 0$ is composed of a finite number of hyperbolic equilibrium points. That is

$$\mathcal{E}_0 := \{u \in D(A_0)\,;\, A_0 u - f(u) = 0\} = \{x_*^{1,0} < x_*^{2,0} \leq ... \leq x_*^{m,0}\}$$

and $\sigma(A_0 - f'(u_*^{i,0})) \cap \{\mu\,;\, Re(\mu) = 0\} = \emptyset$, for $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$.

In order to ensure that all solution of (6) are globally defined, and there is a global attractor for the nonlinear semigroup given by theses solutions, we assume the following conditions.

(i) If $n = 2$, for all $\eta > 0$, there is a constant $C_\eta > 0$ such that

$$|f(u) - f(v)| \leq C_\eta(e^{\eta|u|^2} + e^{\eta|v|^2})|u - v|, \quad \forall\, u, v \in \mathbb{R},$$

and if $n \geq 3$, there is a constant $\tilde{C} > 0$ such that

$$|f(u) - f(v)| \leq \tilde{C}|u - v|(|u|^{\frac{4}{n-2}} + |v|^{\frac{4}{n-2}} + 1), \quad \forall\, u, v \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(ii)

$$\limsup_{|u|\to\infty} \frac{f(u)}{u} < 0.$$

Under theses assumptions [2, 3] and [7] ensure that the problem (6) is globally well posed and generate a nonlinear semigroup satisfying

$$T_\varepsilon(t)u_0^\varepsilon = e^{-At}u_0^\varepsilon + \int_0^t e^{-A(t-s)} f(T_\varepsilon(s)u_0^\varepsilon)\, ds, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{7}$$

Moreover there is a global attractor $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ for $T_\varepsilon(\cdot)$ uniformly bounded in $X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$, that is

$$\sup_{\varepsilon\in[0,\varepsilon_0]} \sup_{w\in\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \|w\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} < \infty.$$

We also have $T_0(\cdot)$ is a Morse-Smale semigroup (see [4]) and $\mathcal{A}_0 = [x_*^{1,0}, x_*^{m,0}]$.

We recall that

Definition 1.1 (Invariant Manifold)**.** A set $\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon \subset X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is an invariant manifold for (6) when for each $u_0^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$ there is a global solution $u^\varepsilon(\cdot)$ of (6) such that $u^\varepsilon(0) = u_0^\varepsilon$ and $u^\varepsilon(t) \in \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

For $z^\varepsilon \in X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $A, B \subset X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we denote

$$\operatorname{dist}(z^\varepsilon, B) = \inf_{x\in B} \|z - x\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{dist}_H(A, B) = \sup_{z\in A} \operatorname{dist}(z, A)$$

The Hausdorff metric is defined by

$$\mathrm{d}_H(A, B) = \operatorname{dist}_H(A, B) + \operatorname{dist}_H(B, A).$$

We consider the projection

$$Pu = \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u\, dx, \quad u \in L^2(\Omega) \quad \text{or} \quad u \in X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus P is an orthogonal projection acting on L^2 onto L^2_Ω or $X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ onto $X_0^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

We are now in position to state the main result.

Theorem 1.2. For $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ there is a positive constant C independent of ε such that the operators A_ε satisfy

$$\|A_\varepsilon^{-1} - A_0^{-1}P\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2, X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq C(\tau(\varepsilon) + p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

There is an one dimensional invariant manifold $\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$ for (6) such that $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \subset \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$ and the flow on $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ can be reduced to an ordinary differential equation. Moreover the continuity of attractors of (7) can be estimate by

$$\mathrm{d}_H(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{A}_0) \leq C(\tau(\varepsilon) + p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

The proof of Theorem 1.2 will be done in the Corollary 2.3 and Theorem 4.1 in the following sections.

The paper is divided as follow: in Section 2 we make a detailed study of operators A_ε, $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0]$ obtaining the rate of convergence of resolvent operators and equilibrium points. In section 3 we construct the invariant manifold and in the Section 4 we reduce the system to one dimensional in order to obtain the rate of convergence of attractors.

2. Rate of Convergence of Resolvent and Equilibria

In this section we will obtain the convergence of the resolvent operators and the convergence of equilibrium points. We will study the spectral behavior of the operators A_ε and we will obtain estimates for the linear semigroups.

Lemma 2.1. For $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ with $\|g\|_{L^2} \leq 1$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, let u^ε be the solution of elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases} -\mathrm{div}(p_\varepsilon(x)\nabla u^\varepsilon) + (\lambda + V_\varepsilon(x))u^\varepsilon = g, \quad x \in \Omega, \\ \dfrac{\partial u^\varepsilon}{\partial \vec{n}} = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

Then there is a constant $C > 0$, independent of ε, such that

$$\|u^\varepsilon - u^0\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C(\tau(\varepsilon) + p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \tag{9}$$

where $u^0 = \frac{Pg}{\lambda + V_0}$.

Proof. The weak solution u^ε satisfies

$$\int_\Omega p_\varepsilon \nabla u^\varepsilon \nabla \varphi \, dx + \int_\Omega (\lambda + V_\varepsilon) u^\varepsilon \varphi \, dx = \int_\Omega g\varphi \, dx, \quad \forall\, \varphi \in X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}},\ \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]; \tag{10}$$

$$\int_\Omega (\lambda + V_0) u^0 \varphi \, dx = \int_\Omega Pg\varphi \, dx, \quad \forall\, \varphi \in X_0^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{11}$$

With the aid of the projection P we have

$$\int_\Omega p_\varepsilon|\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2\,dx+\int_\Omega(\lambda+V_\varepsilon)u^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon-u^0)\,dx=\int_\Omega g(u^\varepsilon-u^0)\,dx;$$

$$\int_\Omega(\lambda+V_0)u^0(Pu^\varepsilon-u^0)\,dx=\int_\Omega Pg(Pu^\varepsilon-u^0)\,dx,$$

which implies

$$\int_\Omega g(u^\varepsilon-u^0)\,dx-\int_\Omega Pg(Pu^\varepsilon-u^0)\,dx=\int_\Omega g(I-P)u^\varepsilon\,dx$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega p_\varepsilon|\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2\,dx+\int_\Omega(\lambda+V_\varepsilon)u^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon-u^0)\,dx-\int_\Omega(\lambda+V_0)u^0(Pu^\varepsilon-u^0)\,dx\\ =\|u^\varepsilon-u^0\|^2_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}+\int_\Omega(V_\varepsilon-V_0)u^0(u^\varepsilon-u^0)\,dx.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|u^\varepsilon-u^0\|^2_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}\le\int_\Omega|V_\varepsilon-V_0||u^0||u^\varepsilon-u^0|\,dx+\int_\Omega|g(I-P)u^\varepsilon|\,dx.$$

If $n=1$, we have $X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}\subset H^1\subset L^\infty$, thus

$$\int_\Omega|V_\varepsilon-V_0||u^0||u^\varepsilon-u^0|\,dx\le C\|u^\varepsilon-u^0\|_{L^\infty}\|V^\varepsilon-V_0\|_{L^1}\le C\|u^\varepsilon-u^0\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}\tau(\varepsilon).$$

If $n\ge2$, we have $L^p\subset L^2$, thus

$$\int_\Omega|V_\varepsilon-V_0||u^0||u^\varepsilon-u^0|\,dx\le C\|u^\varepsilon-u^0\|_{L^2}\|V^\varepsilon-V_0\|_{L^2}\le C\|u^\varepsilon-u^0\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}\tau(\varepsilon).$$

By Poincaré's inequality for average, we have

$$\int_\Omega|g(I-P)u^\varepsilon|\,dx\le\|g\|_{L^2}\Big(\int_\Omega|\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2\,dx\Big)^{\frac12},$$

but

$$p(\varepsilon)\int_\Omega|\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2\,dx\le\int_\Omega p_\varepsilon|\nabla u^\varepsilon-\nabla u^0|^2\,dx\le\|u^\varepsilon-u^0\|^2_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}.$$

Put this estimates together the result follows. □

Corollary 2.2. There is no positive constant C independent of ε such that

$$\|u\|^2_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}\le C\|u\|^2_{H^1}\quad\forall\,u\in X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}.$$

Proof. If there is such a constant C take $v^\varepsilon=(I-P)u^\varepsilon$ as given by the Lemma 2.3, thus by Poincaré's inequality for average, we have

$$\begin{aligned}p(\varepsilon)\|v^\varepsilon\|^2_{H^1}&\le p(\varepsilon)\int_\Omega|\nabla v^\varepsilon|^2\,dx+\int_\Omega|v^\varepsilon|^2\,dx\\ &\le Cp(\varepsilon)\int_\Omega|\nabla v^\varepsilon|^2\,dx\\ &\le C\|v^\varepsilon\|^2_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}\le C\|v^\varepsilon\|^2_{H^1}.\end{aligned}$$

□

The convergence of the resolvent operators can be stated as follows.

Corollary 2.3. There is a positive constant C independent of ε such that

$$\|A_\varepsilon^{-1} - A_0^{-1}P\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2),X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq C(\tau(\varepsilon) + p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{12}$$

Furthermore, if $\mu \in \rho(-A_0) \cap \rho(-A_\varepsilon)$ is such that $\mathrm{Re}(\mu) \notin (-\infty, \bar{\lambda}]$, where $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda + V_0$, there is $\phi \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$ such that for all $\mu \in \Sigma_{\bar{\lambda},\phi} = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg(\mu + \bar{\lambda})| \leq \phi\} \setminus \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu + \bar{\lambda}| \leq r\}$, for some $r > 0$,

$$\|(\mu + A_\varepsilon)^{-1} - (\mu + A_0)^{-1}P\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2,X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq C(\tau(\varepsilon) + p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{13}$$

The spectral behavior of A_ε can be seen in the next result.

Proposition 2.4. We denote $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda + V_0$ the eigenvalue of A_0 and we denote for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ the ordered spectrum $\sigma(A_\varepsilon) = \{\lambda_1^\varepsilon < \lambda_2^\varepsilon < ...\}$.

(i) Given $\delta > 0$, there is ε sufficiently small (we still denote $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$) such that the operators

$$Q_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|\xi+\bar{\lambda}|=\delta} (\xi + A_\varepsilon)^{-1}\, d\xi, \quad \varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0],$$

are projections on $X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $Q_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda}) \longrightarrow Q_0(\bar{\lambda}) = I_{X_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}$. More precisely,

$$\|Q_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda}) - P\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2,X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq C(\tau(\varepsilon) + p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \tag{14}$$

for some constant C independent of ε. Furthermore all eigenspaces $W_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda}) = Q_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are the same dimension, that is, for ε sufficiently small,

$$\mathrm{rank}(Q_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})) = \dim(W_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})) = \dim(X_0^{\frac{1}{2}}) = 1.$$

(ii) For each $u^0 \in W_0(\bar{\lambda}) = X_0^{\frac{1}{2}}$ there is a sequence $(u^{\varepsilon_k})_k$ such that $u^{\varepsilon_k} \in W_{\varepsilon_k}(\bar{\lambda})$, $\varepsilon_k \overset{k\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$ and $u^{\varepsilon_k} \to u^0$ in $X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Also, given sequences $\varepsilon_k \overset{k\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$ and $(u^{\varepsilon_k})_k$ with $u^{\varepsilon_k} \in W_{\varepsilon_k}(\bar{\lambda})$ and $\|u^{\varepsilon_k}\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, each subsequence $(u^{\varepsilon_{k_l}})_l$ of $(u^{\varepsilon_k})_k$ has a convergent subsequence for some $u^0 \in W_0(\bar{\lambda})$. Furthermore, if we denote $W_\varepsilon^1(\bar{\lambda}) = \{z \in W_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})\,;\, \|z\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq 1\}$, then

$$\mathrm{d}_H(W_\varepsilon^1(\bar{\lambda}), W_0^1(\bar{\lambda})) \leq C(\tau(\varepsilon) + p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{15}$$

(iii) Given $R > 0$, for ε sufficiently small (we still denote $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$), such that, $|\lambda_1^\varepsilon - \bar{\lambda}| \leq R$ and $|\lambda_2^\varepsilon| > R$. Furthermore

$$Cp(\varepsilon) \leq \lambda_\varepsilon^j, \quad j \in \{2, 3, ...\}. \tag{16}$$

Proof. The proof is the same as given in [5] and [6]. Here we just need to proof the estimates (14), (15) and (16). But (14) is immediately from definition of $Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)$ and by Corollary 2.3. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}\|Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)-P\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2,X_\varepsilon^{\frac12})} &= \Big\|\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|z-\bar\lambda|=\delta}(z+A_\varepsilon)^{-1}-(z+A_0)^{-1}P\,dz\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2,X_\varepsilon^{\frac12})}\\ &\le \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{|z-\bar\lambda|=\delta}\|(z+A_\varepsilon)^{-1}-(z+A_0)^{-1}P\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2,X_\varepsilon^{\frac12})}\,|dz|\\ &\le C(\tau(\varepsilon)+p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac12}).\end{aligned}$$

For (15) let $z_0\in X_0^{\frac12}$ with $\|z_0\|_{X_0^{\frac12}}\le 1$, then

$$\operatorname{dist}(Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)z_0,W_0^1(\bar\lambda))\le\|Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)z_0-z_0\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}\le C(\tau(\varepsilon)+p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac12});$$

$$\operatorname{dist}(z_0,W_\varepsilon^1(\bar\lambda))\le\|z_0-Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)z_0\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}\le C(\tau(\varepsilon)+p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac12}),$$

and the result follows. Finally for (16), let φ_1^ε be the associated eigenfunction to the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1^\varepsilon\in\sigma(A_\varepsilon)$. Let $w\in X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}\setminus\{0\}$ such that $w\perp\varphi_1^\varepsilon$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. We have

$$\frac{\langle w,w\rangle_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}}{\int_\Omega|w|^2\,dx}\ge\frac{p(\varepsilon)\int_\Omega|\nabla w|^2\,dx}{\int_\Omega|w|^2\,dx},$$

minimizing and setting $\mu_{2,\varepsilon}=\inf\{\int_\Omega|\nabla w|^2\,dx\,;\,w\in H^1\setminus\{0\},\,\|w\|_{L^2}=1\,w\perp\varphi_1^\varepsilon\}$, by min-max characterization,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\frac{\lambda_\varepsilon^2}{p(\varepsilon)}\ge\lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\mu_{2,\varepsilon},$$

but $\mu_{2,\varepsilon}\overset{\varepsilon\to0}{\longrightarrow}\mu_2$, where μ_2 is the first non zero eigenvalue of the operator $-\Delta$ subject to Neumann homogeneous boundary condition (see [9]). □

The next result exhibit estimates that plays an important role in the existence of invariant manifolds in the next section.

Proposition 2.5. Choose $\delta>0$ and $R>2+\bar\lambda$ according with (iv) of Proposition 2.4 and denote

$$Y_\varepsilon=Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}\quad\text{and}\quad Z_\varepsilon=(I-Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda))X_\varepsilon^{\frac12},\quad\varepsilon\in[0,\varepsilon_0]$$

and define projected operators

$$A_\varepsilon^+=A_\varepsilon|_{Y_\varepsilon}\quad\text{and}\quad A_\varepsilon^-=A_\varepsilon|_{Z_\varepsilon},\quad\varepsilon\in[0,\varepsilon_0].$$

Then the following estimates are true,

(i) $\|e^{-A_\varepsilon^+t}z\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}\le Me^{-\gamma t}\|z\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}},\quad t\le0,\quad z\in Y_\varepsilon;$

(ii) $\|e^{-A_\varepsilon^+t}-e^{A_0^+t}P\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2,X_\varepsilon^{\frac12})}\le Me^{-\gamma t}(\tau(\varepsilon)+p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac12}),\quad t\le0;$

(iii) $\|e^{-A_\varepsilon^-t}z\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}\le Me^{-\beta(\varepsilon)t}\|z\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}},\quad t>0,\quad z\in Z_\varepsilon,$

where $\gamma = \bar{\lambda} + 1$, $\beta(\varepsilon) = \lambda_\varepsilon^2$ and M is a constant independent of ε.

Proof. We have $\bar{\lambda} > 0$ and for ε sufficiently small we can construct the curve $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4$, where

$$\Gamma_1 = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C}\,;\, \mathrm{Re}(\mu) = -\bar{\lambda} + 1 \text{ and } |\mathrm{Im}(\mu)| \leq 1\},$$

$$\Gamma_2 = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C}\,;\, -\bar{\lambda} - 1 \leq \mathrm{Re}(\mu) \leq -\bar{\lambda} + 1 \text{ and } \mathrm{Im}(\mu) = 1\},$$

$$\Gamma_3 = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C}\,;\, \mathrm{Re}(\mu) = -\bar{\lambda} - 1 \text{ and } |\mathrm{Im}(\mu)| \leq 1\},$$

$$\Gamma_4 = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C}\,;\, -\bar{\lambda} - 1 \leq \mathrm{Re}(\mu) \leq -\bar{\lambda} + 1 \text{ and } \mathrm{Im}(\mu) = -1\}.$$

Thus, for $z \in Y_\varepsilon$ and $t < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|e^{-A_\varepsilon^+ t} z\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \|e^{-A_\varepsilon t} Q_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda}) z\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\Gamma \|(\mu + A_\varepsilon)^{-1} e^{\mu t} z\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\, d\mu \\
&\leq M_1 \int_\Gamma |e^{\mu t}|\, |d\mu| \|z\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq M_2 \sup_{\mu \in \Gamma} e^{\mathrm{Re}(\mu) t} \|z\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&\leq M e^{-(\bar{\lambda}+1)t} \|z\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (i). The same argument proves (ii) with the aid of Lemma 2.1. For (iii), due our choices, λ_ε^2 does not lying in the region delimited by Γ and then

$$e^{-A_\varepsilon^- t} z = e^{-A_\varepsilon t}(I - Q_\varepsilon(\lambda)) z = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon^j t} \left\langle z, \varphi_\varepsilon^j \right\rangle_{L^2} \varphi_\varepsilon^j, \quad t > 0,$$

where φ_ε^j are the orthonormal eigenfunctions associated to λ_ε^j, $j \in \{2, 3, ...\}$. Then,

$$\|e^{-A_\varepsilon^- t} z\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon^2 t} \Big(\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left\langle z, \varphi_\varepsilon^j \right\rangle_{L^2}^2 \lambda_\varepsilon^j \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq M e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon^2 t} \|z\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad t > 0.$$

□

The rate of convergence of equilibrium points can be obtained as follows.

Theorem 2.6. Let $u_*^0 \in \mathcal{E}_0$. Then for ε sufficiently small (we still denote $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$), there is $\delta > 0$ such that the equation $A_\varepsilon u - f(u) = 0$ has the only solution $u_*^\varepsilon \in \{u \in X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\,;\, \|u - u_*^0\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \delta\}$. Moreover

$$\|u_*^\varepsilon - u_*^0\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C(\tau(\varepsilon) + p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{17}$$

Proof. The proof is the same as given in [1] and [5]. Here we just need to proof the estimates (17). We have u_*^ε and u_*^0 given by

$$u_*^0 = (A_0 + V_0)^{-1}[f(u_*^0) + V_0 u_*^0] \quad \text{and} \quad u_*^\varepsilon = (A_\varepsilon + V_0)^{-1}[f(u_*^\varepsilon) + V_0 u_*^\varepsilon],$$

where $V_0 = -f'(u_*^0)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_*^\varepsilon - u_*^0\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} &\leq \|(A_\varepsilon + V_0)^{-1}[f(u_*^\varepsilon) + V_0 u_*^\varepsilon] - (A_0 + V_0)^{-1}[f(u_*^0) + V_0 u_*^0]\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\leq \|[(A_\varepsilon + V_0)^{-1} - (A_0 + V_0)^{-1}P][f(u_*^\varepsilon) + V_0 u_*^\varepsilon]\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &+ \|(A_0 + V_0)^{-1}P[f(u_*^\varepsilon) - f(u_*^0) + V_0(u_*^\varepsilon - u_*^0)]\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}.\end{aligned}$$

We have the following equality

$$(A_\varepsilon + V_0)^{-1} - (A_0 + V_0)^{-1}P = [I - (A_\varepsilon + V_0)^{-1}V_0](A_\varepsilon^{-1} - A_0^{-1}P)[I - V_0(A_0 + V_0)^{-1}].$$

And then $\|[(A_\varepsilon + V_0)^{-1} - (A_0 + V_0)^{-1}P][f(u_*^\varepsilon) + V_0 u_*^\varepsilon]\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C(\tau(\varepsilon) + p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}})$.

If we denote $z^\varepsilon = f(u_*^\varepsilon) - f(u_*^0) + V_0(u_*^\varepsilon - u_*^0)$, since f is continuously differentiable, for all $\delta > 0$ there is ε sufficiently small such that $\|z^\varepsilon\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \delta \|u_*^\varepsilon - u_*^0\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}$, thus

$$\|(A_0 + V_0)^{-1}Pz^\varepsilon\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \delta \|(A_0 + V_0)^{-1}P\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2, X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})} \|u_*^\varepsilon - u_*^0\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

We choice δ sufficiently small such that $\delta\|(A_0 + V_0)^{-1}P\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2, X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and then

$$\|u_*^\varepsilon - u_*^0\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C(\tau(\varepsilon) + p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}) + \frac{1}{2}\|u_*^\varepsilon - u_*^0\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

□

3. Invariant Manifold

In this section we construct exponentially attracting invariant manifolds for (6) as the graph of Lipschitz continuous map defined an a suitable one dimensional space. We follow the original idea of [8] carried out by [6].

Theorem 3.1. Choose $\delta > 0$ and $R > 2 + \bar{\lambda}$ according with Proposition 2.4 and for $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0]$, write $X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} = Y_\varepsilon \oplus Z_\varepsilon$ and $A_\varepsilon = A_\varepsilon^+ \oplus A_\varepsilon^-$ according with Proposition 2.5. Then for ε sufficiently small (we still denote $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$), there is an invariant manifold $\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$ for (6), which is given by graph of a certain Lipschitz continuous map $s_*^\varepsilon : Y_\varepsilon \to Z_\varepsilon$ as

$$\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon = \{u^\varepsilon \in X_\varepsilon \,;\, u^\varepsilon = Q_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})u^\varepsilon + s_*^\varepsilon(Q_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})u^\varepsilon)\}.$$

The map $s_*^\varepsilon : Y_\varepsilon \to Z_\varepsilon$ satisfies the condition

$$|||s_*^\varepsilon||| = \sup_{v^\varepsilon \in Y_\varepsilon} \|s_*^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C(\tau(\varepsilon) + p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \tag{18}$$

for some constant C independent of ε. The invariant manifold $\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$ is exponentially attracting and the global attractor $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ of the problem (6) lying in $\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$ and the flow on $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ is given by

$$u^\varepsilon(t) = v^\varepsilon(t) + s_*^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $v^\varepsilon(t)$ satisfy

$$\dot{v^\varepsilon} + A_\varepsilon^+ v^\varepsilon = Q_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda}) f(v^\varepsilon + s_*^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(t))).$$

Proof. The demonstration is standard so we omit some calculations. We focus on estimate (18).

Given $L, \Delta > 0$ we consider the set

$$\Sigma_\varepsilon = \Big\{ s^\varepsilon : Y_\varepsilon \to Z_\varepsilon \,;\, |\!|\!| s^\varepsilon |\!|\!| \le D \text{ and } \|s^\varepsilon(v) - s^\varepsilon(\tilde{v})\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \le \Delta \|v - \tilde{v}\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Big\}.$$

$(\Sigma_\varepsilon, |\!|\!| \cdot |\!|\!|)$ is a complete metric space. We write the solution u^ε of (6) as $u^\varepsilon = v^\varepsilon + z^\varepsilon$, with $v^\varepsilon \in Y_\varepsilon$ and $z^\varepsilon \in Z_\varepsilon$ and since $Q_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})$ and $I - Q_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})$ commute with A_ε, we obtain the equations

$$\begin{cases} v_t^\varepsilon + A_\varepsilon^+ v^\varepsilon = Q_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda}) f(v^\varepsilon + z^\varepsilon) := H_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon, z^\varepsilon) \\ z_t^\varepsilon + A_\varepsilon^- z^\varepsilon = (I - Q_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})) f(v^\varepsilon + z^\varepsilon) := G_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon, z^\varepsilon). \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

By assumption there is a certain $\rho > 0$ such that for all $v^\varepsilon, \tilde{v}^\varepsilon \in Y_\varepsilon$ and $z^\varepsilon, \tilde{z}^\varepsilon \in Z_\varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|H_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon, z^\varepsilon)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \le \rho,\ \|G_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon, z^\varepsilon)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \le \rho, \\ &\|H_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon, z^\varepsilon) - H_\varepsilon(\tilde{v}^\varepsilon, \tilde{z}^\varepsilon)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \le \rho(\|v^\varepsilon - \tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|z^\varepsilon - \tilde{z}_\varepsilon\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}), \\ &\|G_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon, z^\varepsilon) - G_\varepsilon(\tilde{v}^\varepsilon, \tilde{z}^\varepsilon)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \le \rho(\|v^\varepsilon - \tilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|z^\varepsilon - \tilde{z}_\varepsilon\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}). \end{aligned}$$

Also, for ε sufficiently small, we can choose ρ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho M \beta^{-1} \le D,\ 0 \le \beta - \gamma - \rho M(1+\Delta),\ \tfrac{\rho M^2(1+\Delta)}{\beta - \gamma - \rho M(1+\Delta)} \le \Delta, \\ &\rho M \beta^{-1} + \tfrac{\rho^2 M^2 (1+\Delta)\gamma^{-1}}{\beta - \gamma - \rho M(1+\Delta)} \le \tfrac{1}{2},\ L = \Big[\rho M + \tfrac{\rho^2 M^2 (1+\Delta)(1+M)}{\beta - \gamma - \rho M(1+\Delta)}\Big],\ \beta - L > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $s^\varepsilon \in \Sigma_\varepsilon$ and $v^\varepsilon(t) = v^\varepsilon(t, \tau, \eta, s^\varepsilon)$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} v_t^\varepsilon + A_\varepsilon^+ v^\varepsilon = H_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon, s^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)), \quad t < \tau \\ v^\varepsilon(\tau) = \eta. \end{cases}$$

We define $\Phi_\varepsilon : \Sigma_\varepsilon \to \Sigma_\varepsilon$ given by

$$\Phi_\varepsilon(s^\varepsilon)(\eta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{-A_\varepsilon^-(\tau - r)} G_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(r), s^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(r)))\, dr.$$

Then by Proposition 2.5, $\|\Phi_\varepsilon(s^\varepsilon)(\eta)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \le D$.

For $s^\varepsilon, \tilde{s^\varepsilon} \in \Sigma_\varepsilon$, $\eta, \tilde{\eta} \in Y_\varepsilon$, $v^\varepsilon(t) = v^\varepsilon(t, \tau, \eta, s^\varepsilon)$ and $\tilde{v}^\varepsilon(t) = \tilde{v}^\varepsilon(t, \tau, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{s}^\varepsilon)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} v^\varepsilon(t) - \tilde{v}^\varepsilon(t) = e^{-A_\varepsilon^+(t-\tau)}(\eta - \tilde{\eta}) \\ + \int_\tau^t e^{-A_\varepsilon^+(t-r)} [H_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(r), s^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(r))) - H_\varepsilon(\tilde{v}^\varepsilon(r), \tilde{s}^\varepsilon(\tilde{v}^\varepsilon(r)))]\, dr, \end{aligned}$$

and we can prove that

$$\|v^\varepsilon(t) - \tilde{v}^\varepsilon(t)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \le \Big[M \|\eta - \tilde{\eta}\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \rho M \gamma^{-1} |\!|\!| s^\varepsilon - \tilde{s}^\varepsilon |\!|\!| \Big] e^{[\rho M(1+\Delta) + \gamma](\tau - t)}.$$

From this we obtain

$$\|\Phi_\varepsilon(s^\varepsilon)(\eta)-\Phi_\varepsilon(\tilde{s}^\varepsilon)(\tilde{\eta})\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$
$$\leq \Big[\frac{\rho M^2(1+\Delta)}{\beta-\gamma-\rho M(1+\Delta)}\Big]\|\eta-\tilde{\eta}\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}+\Big[\rho M\beta^{-1}+\frac{\rho^2M^2(1+\Delta)\gamma^{-1}}{\beta-\gamma-\rho M(1+\Delta)}\Big]|\!|\!|s^\varepsilon-\tilde{s}^\varepsilon|\!|\!|.$$

Therefore Φ_ε is a contraction on Σ_ε hence there is a unique $s_*^\varepsilon\in\Sigma_\varepsilon$.

Now, let $(\bar{v}^\varepsilon,\bar{z}^\varepsilon)\in\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$, $\bar{z}^\varepsilon=s_*^\varepsilon(\bar{v}^\varepsilon)$ and let $v_{s_*}^\varepsilon(t)$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} v_t^\varepsilon+A_\varepsilon^+v^\varepsilon=H_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon,s_*^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon)), \quad t<\tau \\ v^\varepsilon(0)=\bar{v}^\varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Thus, $\{(v_{s_*}^\varepsilon(t),s_*^\varepsilon(v_{s_*}^\varepsilon(t))\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ defines a curve on $\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$. But the only solution of equation

$$z_t^\varepsilon+A_\varepsilon^-z^\varepsilon=G_\varepsilon(v_{s_*}^\varepsilon(t),s_*^\varepsilon(v_{s_*}^\varepsilon(t)))$$

which stay bounded when $t\to-\infty$ is given by

$$z_{s_*}^\varepsilon=\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-A_\varepsilon^-(t-r)}G_\varepsilon(v_{s_*}^\varepsilon(t),s_*^\varepsilon(v_{s_*}^\varepsilon(t)))\,dr=s_*^\varepsilon(v_{s_*}^\varepsilon(t)).$$

Therefore $(v_{s_*}^\varepsilon(t),s_*^\varepsilon(v_{s_*}^\varepsilon(t))$ is a solution of (19) through $(\bar{v}^\varepsilon,\bar{z}^\varepsilon)$ and thus $\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$ is a invariant manifold for (6) according to the Definition 1.1.

Now we will prove the estimate (18). Note that since $Q_0(\lambda)=I_{X_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ we have $(I-Q_0(\lambda))=0$, $\mathcal{M}_0=X_0^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $s_*^0=0$ and $G_0(v^0,s_*^0(v^0))=0$, where v^0 is solution of (19) with $\varepsilon=0$, that is $v^0=u^0$ is the solution of (6) with $\varepsilon=0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\|s_*^\varepsilon(\eta)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}&\leq\int_{-\infty}^\tau\|e^{-A_\varepsilon^-(\tau-r)}G_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon,s_*^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon))\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\,dr\\&\leq\int_{-\infty}^\tau\|e^{-A_\varepsilon^-(\tau-r)}G_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon,s_*^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon))-e^{-A_\varepsilon^-(\tau-r)}G_\varepsilon(v^0,0)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\,dr\\&+\int_{-\infty}^\tau\|e^{-A_\varepsilon^-(\tau-r)}G_\varepsilon(v^0,0)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\,dr.\end{aligned}$$

If we denote the last two integrals for I_1 and I_2 respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned}I_1&\leq\int_{-\infty}^\tau Me^{-\beta(\tau-r)}\rho[(1+\Delta)\|v^\varepsilon-v^0\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}+|\!|\!|s_*^\varepsilon|\!|\!|]\,dr\\&\leq\rho M(1+\Delta)\int_{-\infty}^\tau e^{-\beta(\tau-r)}\|v^\varepsilon-v^0\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\,dr\\&+\rho M|\!|\!|s_*^\varepsilon|\!|\!|\int_{-\infty}^\tau e^{-\beta(\tau-r)}\,dr\\&=\rho M(1+\Delta)\int_{-\infty}^\tau e^{-\beta(\tau-r)}\|v^\varepsilon-v^0\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\,dr+\rho M\beta^{-1}|\!|\!|s_*^\varepsilon|\!|\!|.\end{aligned}$$

For I_2, we have $G_\varepsilon(v^0,0)=(I-Q_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda}))f(v^0)$, therefore $I_2\leq C(\tau(\varepsilon)+p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, for some constant C independent of ε. Thus

$$\|s_*^\varepsilon(\eta)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\leq C(\tau(\varepsilon)+p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}})+\rho M\beta^{-1}|\!|\!|s_*^\varepsilon|\!|\!|+\rho M(1+\Delta)\int_{-\infty}^{\tau}e^{-\beta(\tau-r)}\|v^\varepsilon-v^0\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\,dr.$$

But, with the same argument above, it follows that

$$\|v^\varepsilon(t)-v^0(t)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\leq[C(\tau(\varepsilon)+p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}})+\rho M\gamma^{-1}|\!|\!|s_*^\varepsilon|\!|\!|]e^{[\rho M(1+\Delta)+\gamma](\tau-t)},$$

thus

$$\|s_*^\varepsilon(\eta)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\leq C(\tau(\varepsilon)+p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}})+\Big[\rho M\beta^{-1}+\frac{\rho^2M^2(1+\Delta)\gamma^{-1}}{\beta-\gamma-\rho M(1+\Delta)}\Big]|\!|\!|s_*^\varepsilon|\!|\!|.$$

Therefore $|\!|\!|s_*^\varepsilon|\!|\!|\leq C(\tau(\varepsilon)+p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}})$.

It remains that $\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$ is exponentially attracting and $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon\subset\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$. Let $(v^\varepsilon,z^\varepsilon)\in Y_\varepsilon\oplus Z_\varepsilon$ be the solution of (19) and define $\xi^\varepsilon(t)=z^\varepsilon-s_*^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(t))$ and consider $y^\varepsilon(r,t), r\leq t$, $t\geq 0$, the solution of

$$\begin{cases}y_t^\varepsilon+A_\varepsilon^+y^\varepsilon=H_\varepsilon(y^\varepsilon,s_*^\varepsilon(y^\varepsilon)),\quad r\leq t\\ y^\varepsilon(t,t)=v^\varepsilon(t).\end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|y^\varepsilon(r,t)-v^\varepsilon(r)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\\&\qquad=\Big\|\int_t^r e^{-A_\varepsilon^+(r-\theta)}[H_\varepsilon(y^\varepsilon(\theta,t),s_*^\varepsilon(y^\varepsilon(\theta,t)))-H_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(\theta),z^\varepsilon(\theta))]\,d\theta\Big\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\\&\qquad\leq\rho M\int_r^t e^{-\gamma(r-\theta)}[(1+\Delta)\|y^\varepsilon(\theta,t)-v^\varepsilon(\theta)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}+\|\xi^\varepsilon(\theta)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}]\,d\theta\end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall inequality

$$\|y^\varepsilon(r,t)-v^\varepsilon(r)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\leq\rho M\int_r^t e^{-(-\gamma-\rho M(1+\Delta))(\theta-r)}\|\xi^\varepsilon(\theta)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\,d\theta\quad r\leq t.$$

Now we take $t_0\in[r,t]$ and then

$$\begin{aligned}&\|y^\varepsilon(r,t)-y^\varepsilon(r,t_0)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\\&\qquad=\|e^{-A_\varepsilon^+(r-t_0)}[y(t_0,t)-v^\varepsilon(t_0)]\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\\&\qquad+\Big\|\int_{t_0}^r e^{-A_\varepsilon^+(r-\theta)}[H_\varepsilon(y^\varepsilon(\theta,t),s_*^\varepsilon(y^\varepsilon(\theta,t)))-H_\varepsilon(y^\varepsilon(\theta,t_0),s_*^\varepsilon(y^\varepsilon(\theta,t_0)))]\,d\theta\Big\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\\&\qquad\leq\rho M^2e^{-\gamma(r-t_0)}\int_{t_0}^t e^{-(-\gamma-\rho M(1+\Delta))(\theta-t_0)}\|\xi^\varepsilon(\theta)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\,d\theta\\&\qquad+\rho M\int_r^{t_0}e^{-\gamma(r-\theta)}(1+\Delta)\|y^\varepsilon(\theta,t)-y^\varepsilon(\theta,t_0)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\,d\theta.\end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall inequality

$$\|y^\varepsilon(r,t)-y^\varepsilon(r,t_0)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}\le \rho M^2\int_{t_0}^t e^{-(-\gamma-\rho M(1+\Delta))(\theta-r)}\|\xi^\varepsilon(\theta)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}\,d\theta.$$

Since

$$z^\varepsilon(t)=e^{-A_\varepsilon^-(t-t_0)}z^\varepsilon(t_0)+\int_{t_0}^t e^{-A_\varepsilon^-(t-r)}G_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(r),z^\varepsilon(r))\,dr,$$

we can estimate $\xi^\varepsilon(t)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}e^{\beta(t-t_0)}\|\xi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}&\le M\|\xi^\varepsilon(t_0)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}+\Big[\rho M+\frac{\rho^2M^2(1+\Delta)}{\beta-\gamma-\rho M(1+\Delta)}\Big]\int_{t_0}^t e^{\beta(r-t_0)}\|\xi^\varepsilon(r)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}\,dr\\&\quad+\frac{\rho^2M^3(1+\Delta)}{\beta-\gamma-\rho M(1+\Delta)}\int_{t_0}^t e^{-(\beta-\gamma-\rho M(1+\Delta)(\theta-t_0)}e^{\beta(\theta-t_0)}\|\xi^\varepsilon(\theta)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}\,d\theta\\&\le M\|\xi^\varepsilon(t_0)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}+\Big[\rho M+\frac{\rho^2M^2(1+\Delta)(1+M)}{\beta-\gamma-\rho M(1+\Delta)}\Big]\int_{t_0}^t e^{\beta(r-t_0)}\|\xi^\varepsilon(r)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}\,dr.\end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall inequality

$$\|\xi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}\le M\|\xi^\varepsilon(t_0)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}e^{-(L-\beta)(t-t_0)},$$

and then

$$\|z^\varepsilon(t)-s_*^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(t))\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}=\|\xi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}\le M\|\xi^\varepsilon(t_0)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}e^{-(L-\beta)(t-t_0)}.$$

Now if $u^\varepsilon:=T_\varepsilon(t)u_0^\varepsilon=v^\varepsilon(t)+z^\varepsilon(t)$, $t\in\mathbb{R}$, denotes the solution through at $u_0^\varepsilon=v_0^\varepsilon+z_0^\varepsilon\in\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$, then

$$\|z^\varepsilon(t)-s_*^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(t))\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}\le M\|z_0^\varepsilon-s_*^\varepsilon(v_0^\varepsilon)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}e^{-(L-\beta)(t-t_0)}.$$

Since $\{T_\varepsilon(t)u_0^\varepsilon;\,t\in\mathbb{R}\}\subset\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ is bounded, letting $t_0\to-\infty$ we obtain $T_\varepsilon(t)u_0^\varepsilon=v^\varepsilon(t)+s_*^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(t))\in\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$. That is $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon\subset\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$. Moreover, if $B_\varepsilon\subset X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}$ is a bounded set and $u_0^\varepsilon=v_0^\varepsilon+z_0^\varepsilon\in B_\varepsilon$, and we conclude that $T_\varepsilon(t)u_0^\varepsilon=v^\varepsilon(t)+z^\varepsilon(t)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{u_0^\varepsilon\in B_\varepsilon}\inf_{w\in\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon}\|T_\varepsilon(t)u_0^\varepsilon-w\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}&\le\sup_{u_0^\varepsilon\in B_\varepsilon}\|z^\varepsilon(t)-s_*^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(t))\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}}\\&\le Me^{-(L-\beta)(t-t_0)}\sup_{u_0^\varepsilon\in B_\varepsilon}\|z_0^\varepsilon-s_*^\varepsilon(v_0^\varepsilon)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac12}},\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\mathrm{dist}_H(T_\varepsilon(t)B_\varepsilon,\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon)\le C(B_\varepsilon)e^{-(L-\beta)(t-t_0)},$$

and thus the proof is complete. □

4. Rate of Convergence of Attractors

In this section we will estimate the continuity of attractors of (7) in the Hausdorff metric by rate of convergence of resolvent operators obtained in the Section 2.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0]$, be the attractor for (7). Then there is a positive constant C independent of ε such that

$$\mathrm{d}_H(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{A}_0) \leq C(\tau(\varepsilon) + p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Proof. By triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}_H(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{A}_0) &\leq \mathrm{d}_H(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) + \mathrm{d}_H(Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{A}_0)\\ &\leq \mathrm{d}_H(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) + \mathrm{d}_H(Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, PQ_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) + \mathrm{d}_H(PQ_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{A}_0).\end{aligned}$$

We will estimate each part.

Let $z \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$, since $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \subset \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$, $z = z^\varepsilon + s^\varepsilon_*(z^\varepsilon)$ for some $z^\varepsilon \in Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{dist}(z, Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) &= \inf_{x\in Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \|z - x\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \|z^\varepsilon + s^\varepsilon_*(z^\varepsilon) - z^\varepsilon\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \|s^\varepsilon_*(z^\varepsilon)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\\ &\leq |\!|\!| s^\varepsilon_* |\!|\!| \leq C(\tau(\varepsilon) + p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{dist}(z^\varepsilon, \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) &= \inf_{x\in\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \|z^\varepsilon - x\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \|z^\varepsilon - (z^\varepsilon + s^\varepsilon_*(z^\varepsilon))\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \|s^\varepsilon_*(z^\varepsilon)\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\\ &\leq |\!|\!| s^\varepsilon_* |\!|\!| \leq C(\tau(\varepsilon) + p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}),\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\mathrm{d}_H(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) = \mathrm{dist}_H(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) + \mathrm{dist}_H(Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) \leq C(\tau(\varepsilon) + p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Let $z^\varepsilon \in Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ then $z^\varepsilon = Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)w^\varepsilon$ for some $w^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$. Since $\bigcup_{\varepsilon\in[0,\varepsilon_0]} \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ is uniformly bounded in $X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ we can assume $\|w^\varepsilon\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{dist}(z^\varepsilon, PQ_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) &= \inf_{x\in PQ_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \|z^\varepsilon - x\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \|z^\varepsilon - Pz^\varepsilon\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \|Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)w^\varepsilon - PQ_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)w^\varepsilon\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\\ &= \|(Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda) - P)Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)w^\varepsilon\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C(\tau(\varepsilon) + p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{dist}(Pz^\varepsilon, Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) &= \inf_{x\in Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} \|Pz^\varepsilon - x\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \|Pz^\varepsilon - z^\varepsilon\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \|PQ_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)w^\varepsilon - Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)w^\varepsilon\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}\\ &= \|(P - Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda))Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)w^\varepsilon\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C(\tau(\varepsilon) + p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}),\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}_H(Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, PQ_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) &= \mathrm{dist}_H(Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, PQ_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) + \mathrm{dist}_H(PQ_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, Q_\varepsilon(\bar\lambda)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon)\\ &\leq C(\tau(\varepsilon) + p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}).\end{aligned}$$

Finally we have $\mathcal{A}_0 = [x_*^{1,0}, x_*^{p,0}]$ and $T_0(\cdot)$ is a Morse-Smale semigroup. Since Morse-Smale semigroup are stable (see [4]) we can assume $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon = [x_*^{1,\varepsilon}, x_*^{m,\varepsilon}]$ that is $Q_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon = [Q_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})x_*^{1,\varepsilon}, Q_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})x_*^{m,\varepsilon}]$ which implies $PQ_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon = [PQ_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})x_*^{1,\varepsilon}, PQ_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})x_*^{m,\varepsilon}]$. Without loss of generality we can assume $PQ_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})x_*^{1,\varepsilon} \leq x_*^{1,0}$ and $PQ_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})x_*^{m,\varepsilon} \leq x_*^{m,0}$. Thus

$$\mathrm{d}_H(PQ_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{A}_0) = \|PQ_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})x_*^{1,\varepsilon} - x_*^{1,0}\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|PQ_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})x_*^{m,\varepsilon} - x_*^{m,0}\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
\|PQ_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})x_*^{1,\varepsilon} - x_*^{1,0}\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} &= \|PQ_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})x_*^{1,\varepsilon} - Px_*^{1,0}\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \|P\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)}\|Q_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})x_*^{1,\varepsilon} - Px_*^{1,0}\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&\leq \|P\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)}\|Q_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})x_*^{1,\varepsilon} - Px_*^{1,\varepsilon}\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|P\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)}\|Px_*^{1,\varepsilon} - Px_*^{1,0}\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&\leq C(\tau(\varepsilon) + p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}).
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way $\|PQ_\varepsilon(\bar{\lambda})x_*^{m,\varepsilon} - x_*^{m,0}\|_{X_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C(\tau(\varepsilon) + p(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}})$. □

References

[1] J. M. Arrieta, F. D. Bezerra, and A. N. Carvalho. Rate of convergence of attractors for some singular perturbed parabolic problems. *Top. Methdos Nonlinear Anal*, 2013.

[2] J. M. Arrieta, A. N. Carvalho, and A. Rodríguez-Bernal. Parabolic problems with nonlinear boundary conditions and critical nonlinearities. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 156:376–406, 1999.

[3] J. M. Arrieta, A. N. Carvalho, and A. Rodríguez-Bernal. Attractors for parabolic problems with nonlinear boundary bondition. uniform bounds. *Commun. in partial differential equations*, 25:1–37, 2000.

[4] M. C. Bortolan, A. N. Carvalho, J. A. Langa, and G. Raugel. Non-autonomous perturbations of morse-smale semigroups: stability of the phase diagram. *Preprint*.

[5] V. L. Carbone, A. N. Carvalho, and K. Schiabel-Silva. Continuity of attractors for parabolic problems with localized large diffusion. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, 68(3):515–535, 2008.

[6] A. N. Carvalho, J. W. Cholewa, G. Lozada-Cruz, and M. R. Primo. Reduction of infinite dimensional systems to finite dimensions: Compact convergence approach. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 45(2):600–638, 2010.

[7] J. K. Hale. *Asymptotic behavior of dissipative systems*, volume 25. Mathematical surveys and monographs, 1988.

[8] D. Henry. *Geometric theory of semilinear parabolic equations*. Number 840 in Springer-Velag. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1980.

[9] A. Rodríguez-Bernal and R. Willie. Singular large diffusivity and spatial homogenization in a non homogeneous linear parabolic problem. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems. Series B. A Journal Bridging Mathematics and Sciences*, 5(2):385–410, 2005.

(Leonardo Pires) Departamento de Matemática, Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e de Computação, Universidade de São Paulo–Campus de São Carlos, Caixa Postal 668, 13560-970 São Carlos SP, Brazil

E-mail address: leopires@icmc.usp.br